

## ROTHERHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL – REPORT TO MEMBERS

1.	<b>Meeting:</b>	<b>Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care</b>
2.	<b>Date:</b>	<b>Monday 24 June 2013</b>
3.	<b>Title:</b>	<b>Adult Services Revenue Outturn Report 2012/13</b> All Wards Affected
4.	<b>Directorate:</b>	<b>Neighbourhoods and Adult Services</b>

### 5. Summary

To inform Members of the Revenue Outturn position for the Adult Social Services Department within the Neighbourhoods and Adult Services Directorate for the financial year 2012/13. After adjustments for the realignment of procurement budgets and other minor in year virements the net Outturn for Adult Services shows an overall underspend of £0.527m against a revised net cash limited revenue budget of £72.024m (a variation of -0.73%).

A significant part of the actual underspend is due to additional income received from NHS during the final quarter. This together with a Council wide moratorium on non essential spend underpinned by tight financial management within the service as contributed to addressing the significant budget pressures which emerged across the wider Council.

### 6. Recommendations

**That Members receive and note the unaudited 2012/13 Revenue Outturn Report for Adult Services.**

## 7. Proposals and Details

The 2012/13 revised cash limited budget after budget virements was £72.024m, the net Revenue Outturn for the service for 2012/13 was £71.497m. This resulted in an overall net underspend of £0.527m (-0.73%). This represents an increase in the underspend of £37k compared with the last budget monitoring report as at the end of February 2013, largely due to the further impact of the Council wide moratorium on non essential spend.

The summary revenue outturn position for Adult Social Services is as follows:-

Service Area	Revised Budget	Outturn	Surplus (-) Deficit (+)	% Variation to Budget
	£000	£000	£000	%
Adults General, Management, Training and Support	1,862	1,833	-29	-1.52
Older People's Services	33,442	32,681	-761	-2.28
Learning Disability Services	17,301	18,054	753	+4.35
Mental Health Services	5,417	5,359	-58	-1.08
Physical Disability Services	6,243	5,972	-271	-4.34
Adult Safeguarding	712	693	-19	-2.74
Supporting People	7,047	6,905	-142	-2.01
<b>Total Adult Services</b>	<b>72,024</b>	<b>71,497</b>	<b>-527</b>	<b>-0.73</b>

The key variations within each service area can be summarised as follows:

### **Adults General (-£29k)**

The underspend was mainly due to savings due to the moratorium on supplies and services and managed savings on training.

### **Older People's Services (-£761k)**

There were a number of under and overspends within this service area. Additional Winter Pressures funding received from Health during the last quarter (-£378k). A number of vacancies due to service reviews and difficulty recruiting within Social Work teams (-£355k), Day Care (-£148k), Transport, Extra Care Housing, Residential care (-£136k) and Locality services (-£48k). A review of Care Enabling Service and sitting service resulted in the service underspending by (-£161k), however, increased activity for independent home care over the final quarter resulted in an overspend (+£196k). Overall underspends on Rothercare (-£70k) due to slippage in service review and Assistive Technology equipment (-£76k). The impact of the moratorium on non essential spend within Day Care resulted in an underspend (-£65k). There were slippage in developing services for Dementia clients (-£220k). An underspend on independent residential and nursing care (-£221k) due to 32 less clients receiving service than budgeted and a reduction in the average cost per client due to more self funders. Slippage in carers breaks resulted in an underspend (-£192k) on carers services.

These underspends were reduced by pressures on Direct Payments (+£998k) due to additional demand (104 additional clients received direct payments) and pressure on In House Residential care income budget (+£115k).

### **Learning Disabilities (+£753K)**

The main overspend was in independent sector residential care as a result of an increase in the number of clients including higher average cost of care packages plus loss of income from health (+£676k). There were also recurrent pressures on Day Care transport including under-recovery of income from charges reduced by vacant posts within in house day centres pending final outcome of the review (+£124k). Increases were also incurred in demand for Direct Payments over and above budget (+£95k). A number of new high cost placements in independent day care and community support resulted in an overspend of (+£77k). These overspends were reduced by an underspend in Supported living schemes due to additional CHC income and the decommissioning of one scheme during the year (-£224k). There were also minor overspend on supplies and services budgets (+£5k).

### **Mental Health Services (-£58k)**

There was a budget pressures on Direct Payments (+£101k) which was offset by savings on Community Support Services (-£155k) as clients moved to a direct payment. Also a minor underspends on supplies and services (-£4k) due to the moratorium on non essential spend

### **Physical and Sensory Disabilities (-£271k)**

Slippage in developing specialist alternatives to residential care provision (-£584k) was used to mitigate pressures within direct payments. There was also an underspend on the equipment and minor adaptations budgets, additional winter pressures funding, vacancies and savings on supplies and services (-£183k). These underspends have been reduced by an increase in demand for Direct Payments (+38 clients) resulting in an overspend (+£496k).

### **Adult Safeguarding (-£19k)**

The underspend was mainly due to slippage on filling vacant posts plus additional fee income from court of protection.

### **Supporting People (-£142k)**

Efficiency savings were made due to a reduction in actual activity on a number of subsidy contracts. This was in addition to savings already made during the year of £234k offset against commissioning savings targets and therefore not reported within Adult Services.

## **8. Finance**

The attached appendix 1 shows a brief description of the main reasons for variation from the approved budget.

## **9. Risks and Uncertainties**

The outturn figures included in this report are subject to quality assurance work on the Statement of Accounts, which will be undertaken during June 2013 and subsequently external audit verification during July/August.

## **10. Policy and Performance Agenda Implications**

The approved cash limited budget for 2012/13 has allowed existing levels of service to be maintained to support the most vulnerable people and continues to contribute to meeting the Council's priorities, ensuring care and protection are available for those people who need it most.

## **11. Background Papers and Consultation**

This report has been discussed and agreed with the Strategic Director of Neighbourhoods and Adult Services, Director of Health and Well Being and the Director of Financial Services.

**Contact Name:** Mark Scarrott, Finance Manager (Neighbourhoods and Adult Services), Extension 22007, [mark.scarrott@rotherham.gov.uk](mailto:mark.scarrott@rotherham.gov.uk)